

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/828,351	AHOLA ET AL.	

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Susan T. Tran. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) James C. Lydon. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3 January 2007 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*of record*

Prior art documents discussed:

*of record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1) incorporate the limitations of claims 24 and 31 into all independent claims; and incorporate limitation "release of active agent is based on the dissolution of the silica xerogel". Applicant did not agree to the incorporation of claim 31 into all the claims. Upon reconsideration, the claims are maintained rejected (see office action)..